

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/863,927 05/23/2001 Thomas H. Distefano TESSERA 3.0-030 CIP V 3339 530 7590 07/18/2003 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, EXAMINER KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST LEWIS, MONICA WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2822

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action 6	09/863,927	1
Office Action Summary	Examiner	DISTEFANO ET AL.
The MAY We		Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspond
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statused and part term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 04 2a) This action is FINAL.	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA ing date of this communication, even if tin June 2002.	ONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mely filed, may reduce any
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matte Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	om consideration.	
6) Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subjected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
ine drawing(s) filed on 23 May 2001 is/are: a)	Long. 1	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) he hald it.	by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on i If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	is: a) approved to abeyance.	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply 12) The path or declaration is a trial of the control of	to this Office action	proved by the Examiner.
or declaration is objected to by the Even	niner	
1011ty under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of:	riority under 35 U.S.S.	
-7 HONE OI.		(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents by	lave heen roos	
and copies of the phority documents he	ave been mand	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office agree 1	documents base !	ation No
The second of the second secon	__//·	
o made of a cidiff for domestic pr	ioribe en el ante	
a) The translation of the foreign language provision of the foreign langua	onal application has been religionity under 35 U.S.C. 88 12	ceived.
Notice of References Co.	2.0. 33 120	5 and/01 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informat	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
nt and Trademark Office	6) Other:	Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/863,927

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the petition for suspension filed June 4, 2002.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election of Group I in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: a) 60a, 60b and 60c (Figure 3); b) 68 (See Figure 3); c) 126' (See Figure 11); d) 13 (See Figure 12); e) 220 (See Figure 12); and f) 366 (Figure 15). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/863,927

Art Unit: 2822

1

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dozier II et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,772,451) in view of Sado (U.S. Patent No. 4,402,562).

In regards to claim 2, Dozier II et al. ("Dozier") discloses the following:

- a) a subassembly (304) including a semiconductor chip having a front surface with contacts thereon and a package element having a central region attached to the chip and a peripheral region extending outwardly away from the chip in horizontal directions generally parallel to the front face of the chip (For Example: See Figure 3);
- b) a substantially imperforate dielectric element (310) overlying the subassembly, the sheet including a central region overlying the central region of the package element adjacent the chip, and a peripheral region extending outwardly from the central region of the sheet and overlying the peripheral region of the package element, the sheet having a top surface facing away from the subassembly and a bottom surface facing toward the subassembly and electrically conductive terminals on the top surface, at least some of the terminals being disposed in said peripheral region of the sheet (For Example: See Figure 3); and
- c) vertically-extensive flexible first leads (320) embedded in said compliant layer and extending upwardly from the contacts on the chip to the central region of the dielectric element, the flexible leads being electrically connected to the terminals (For Example: See Figure 3).

In regards to claim 2, Dozier fails to disclose the following:

a) a compliant layer disposed between the subassembly and dielectric element and supporting the dielectric element above the subassembly.

However, Sado discloses a semiconductor device having a compliant layer (See Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Dozier to include a compliant layer as disclosed in Sado because it aids in holding elements firmly together (For Example: See Column 3 Lines 40-46).

Additionally, since Dozier and Sado are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Sado would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Dozier.

Application/Control Number: 09/863,927

Art Unit: 2822

Conclusion

7. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: a) Khandros et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2001/0002624) discloses tip structures.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica Lewis whose telephone number is 703-305-3743.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722 for regular and after final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ML July 10, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4